

Open Society operating principles for the iLEAD Innovation Garden and Codex

- [1. Purpose & Scope](#)
- [2. Open Immersive Society orientation](#)
- [3. Openness with Deliberate Structure](#)
- [4. Pluralism & Epistemic Respect](#)
- [5. Evidence, Claims and Responsibility](#)
- [6. Disagreement, Critique, and Revision](#)
- [7. Roles, Authority, and Stewardship](#)
- [8. Learning as a Civic Practice](#)
- [9. The living nature of the iLEAD Codex](#)
- [10. Relationship to Books A-F](#)

1. Purpose & Scope

The iLEAD Codex exists to support the open, responsible development of immersive learning knowledge, practice, and infrastructure in service of human learning and development.

This document articulates the operating principles that govern how knowledge is:

- proposed,
- examined,
- revised,
- shared, and
- stewarded

across the Innovation Garden ecosystem.

These principles apply to all Codex materials, activities, and associated spaces, including—but not limited to—Books A through F.

2. Open Immersive Society orientation

iLEAD adopts an open society orientation grounded in the belief that complex, high-impact fields such as immersive learning require:

- plural perspectives,
- transparent reasoning,
- public accountability, and
- mechanisms for ongoing correction.

Openness, in this context, is not a rhetorical stance or a default absence of boundaries. It is a designed condition—one that must be actively maintained through structure, norms, and stewardship.

3. Openness with Deliberate Structure

The Codex is designed to be public by default, with clearly articulated exceptions.

All materials are expected to declare:

- their purpose,
- their current status (e.g., draft, provisional, validated), and
- their stewardship.

Private or restricted spaces may be used when necessary for:

- ethical considerations,
- sensitive partnerships,
- early-stage deliberation, or
- responsible care of people and communities.

Such restrictions are treated as contextual safeguards, not permanent exclusions, and are documented as such.

4. Pluralism & Epistemic Respect

iLEAD recognizes immersive learning as an interdisciplinary and intercultural field. The Codex therefore supports epistemic pluralism, including:

- scientific and empirical research traditions,
- design-based and practice-based inquiry,
- Indigenous and community-rooted ways of knowing, and
- artistic, narrative, and experiential forms of evidence.

Pluralism does not imply equivalence. Claims are examined according to declared standards, methods, and contexts, rather than through a single universal lens.

5. Evidence, Claims and Responsibility

All contributions to the Codex are expected to make clear:

- what is being claimed,
- on what basis, and
- with what degree of confidence.

Evidence may take multiple forms, but assertions must be proportionate to their support. Overreach, ambiguity, or unexamined assumptions are treated as design problems rather than moral failures.

The Codex favors:

- traceability over certainty,
- clarity over persuasion, and
- cumulative understanding over isolated results.

6. Disagreement, Critique, and Revision

Disagreement is treated as a productive condition of knowledge development.

The Codex encourages:

- critical commentary,
- reasoned dissent, and
- alternative interpretations,

provided they are offered in good faith and with attention to context.

Revision is expected, documented, and normalized. Historical versions are preserved where appropriate, allowing the evolution of ideas, standards, and practices to remain visible over time.

7. Roles, Authority, and Stewardship

Participation in the iLEAD Codex operates across multiple levels:

- Voice: the ability to contribute ideas, questions, or commentary
- Influence: the ability to shape patterns, practices, and direction
- Authority: the responsibility to steward, validate, or ratify materials

These roles are intentionally differentiated and may be held by different individuals or groups at different times.

Authority within the Codex is earned, contextual, and revisable, not absolute or permanent.

8. Learning as a Civic Practice

iLEAD treats immersive learning not only as a technical or pedagogical endeavor, but as a civic practice with social, cultural, and ethical consequences.

As such, the Codex emphasizes:

- reflective practice,
- responsible innovation, and
- awareness of downstream impacts on learners, institutions, and communities.

The goal is not acceleration for its own sake, but capable, thoughtful progress. This discipline to create high-quality immersive learning requires diligence, humility, trust, and love.

9. The living nature of the iLEAD Codex

The Codex is a living system.

Its principles, structures, and contents are expected to evolve in response to iLEAD's

- new evidence,
- emerging technologies,
- societal shifts, and
- community learning.

This document itself is subject to review and revision under the same standards it articulates.

10. Relationship to Books A–F

Books A through F operationalize these principles in different ways:

- A. Innovation Garden Overview & Strategy
Articulates shared purpose, metaphors, and long-term orientation.
- B. Design Patterns, Standards, and Practices
Translates principles into actionable, reviewable methods.
- C. Project Beds
Provides space for experimentation, iteration, and learning-in-action.
- D. Community Engagement & Capacity Building
Supports participation, mentorship, and stewardship pathways.
- E. Showcases, Evidence, and Garden Bounty
Curates outcomes with traceable lineage and public accountability.
- F. Virtual Labs
Anchors sustained inquiry, rigor, and institutional collaboration.

Together, these components form a coherent ecosystem designed to support open, plural, and responsible immersive learning development.